

6133 Maybank Highway Wadmalaw Island, SC

Grades PK-6 Elementary School

Enrollment 121 Students

PrincipalBlondell B. Adams843-559-4500SuperintendentDr. Nancy J. McGinley843-937-6319Board ChairMrs. Ruth Jordan843-345-4529

2010 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YFAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2010 Average Excellent 2009 Average Good 2008 At-Risk Below Average 2007 Below Average At-Risk

Below Average

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Below Average

2006

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov


Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2009-10 whose 2008-09 test scores were located

100%

	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk					
	0	2	51	46	18					

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/09/2011.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms								
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.							
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.							
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.							

School Profile

School Floille			Elementary Schools with	Median
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Students Like Ours	Elementary School
Students (n=121)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	0.0%	Down from 0.9%	1.3%	1.2%
Attendance rate	96.8%	Up from 96.7%	95.9%	96.1%
Eligible for gifted and talented	5.6%	Down from 5.8%	4.1%	11.7%
With disabilities other than speech	5.8%	Down from 8.4%	8.5%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	0.0%	No Change	0.8%	0.4%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	Down from 0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=12)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	Down from 72.7%	59.4%	60.5%
Continuing contract teachers	58.3%	Down from 100.0%	78.2%	84.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	87.5%	Down from 88.1%	82.4%	87.0%
Teacher attendance rate	99.7%	Up from 96.7%	95.2%	95.4%
Average teacher salary*	\$45,085	Down 14.2%	\$45,164	\$47,288
Professional development days/teacher	9.3 days	Up from 4.5 days	10.7 days	10.5 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.0	Up from 5.0	3.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	11.4 to 1	Down from 16.8 to 1	17.2 to 1	19.2 to 1
Prime instructional time	96.5%	Up from 93.0%	90.4%	90.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	No	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 99.9%	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$12,772	Down 2.9%	\$8,918	\$7,548
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	60.8%	Up from 58.4%	67.9%	68.7%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	56.3%	Up from 54.2%	62.1%	65.1%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

We continue to embrace the concept "It takes a village to raise a child." Our parents, mentors, volunteers, and community members are assisting us in every way to make this happen. In preparing to serve our children, much analyzing of our performance of the past year was done. In working to satisfy our strong desire to excel, we made what we believe to be significant changes. In keeping with our focus, we added best practices to our reading and math programs. We raised the bar for our children in all academic areas of instruction. We included all children by implementing a hands-on approach to science. Our teachers are constantly involved in training to promote acceleration for all.

To prepare for our children's educational success, teachers and staff plan together. They can often be found discussing alternative strategies to help students succeed. They know the importance of parental input and, therefore, continue to seek parental involvement. We are grateful to our parents for supporting us by coming in for conferences, working with their children, and supporting school activities.

Our volunteers and mentors assist us in helping our children understand the value of giving and helping others. They also help our children to see their individual talents. We appreciate them, love them, and thank them for their untiring support.

All communities can boast of something that is uniquely theirs, and ours is the support and help we continue to receive from our faith community. Our faith community helps to instill values and build character, as well as reward our students for success. We say to them, thank you.

Our main goal will continue to be to help all of our children become lifelong learners and productive citizens of the world.

Blondell B. Adams, Principal Cherisse Taylor, School Improvement Council Chair

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	10	11	4					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	90.9%	I/S					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	100.0%	I/S					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	90.0%	90.9%	I/S					

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

YES

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress

This school met 13 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.8%	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.6%	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	9.5%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	96.8%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 58.8% (Met or Exemplary)	EDITH L FRIERSON ELEMENTARY 03/09/11-1001075									001075	
English/Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 58.8% (Met or Exemplary)	PASS Performance By Group										
All Students 51 100 15.7 39.2 45.1 88.2 85 83.5 Yes Yes Gender Male 26 100 19.2 34.6 46.2 88.5 81.6 80.1 N/A		Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary*	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
Gender Male	English/Langu	lage Art	s - Stat	e Perfor	mance	Objectiv	/e = 58.	8% (Me	t or Exe	mplary)	
Maie	All Students	51	100	15.7	39.2	45.1	88.2	85	83.5	Yes	Yes
Female	Gender										
Racial/Ethnic Group	Male	26	100	19.2	34.6	46.2	88.5	81.6	80.1	N/A	N/A
White 3 I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S 95.3 89.6 I/S I/S African American 47 100 17 38.3 44.7 87.2 75.2 74.6 Yes Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 98.1 92.7 1/S 1/S Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 1/S	Female	25	100	12	44	44	88	88.5	87	N/A	N/A
African American 47 100 17 38.3 44.7 87.2 75.2 74.6 Yes Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 96.1 92.7 I/S	Racial/Ethnic Group										
Asian/Pacific Islander											I/S
Hispanic											Yes
American Indian/Alaskan O		-									
Disability Status Disabled 6	•										
Disabled 6		U	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	90.1	00.1	1/3	1/3
Migrant 0 N/A N/A </td <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>I/S</td> <td>1/5</td> <td>I/S</td> <td>1/5</td> <td>1/5</td> <td>49</td> <td>51.7</td> <td>I/S</td> <td>1/5</td>		6	I/S	1/5	I/S	1/5	1/5	49	51.7	I/S	1/5
Migrant		U	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	40	31.7	1/0	1/0
English Proficiency		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	69.5	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient 1		Ů	14/71	14/7	14/71	14/7	14/7	1/0	00.0	14// (14// (
Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 47 100 14.9 42.6 42.6 89.4 76.4 76.9 Yes Yes Yes Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 57.8% (Met or Exemplary)		1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	80.2	79	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals 47 100 14.9 42.6 42.6 89.4 76.4 76.9 Yes Yes Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 57.8% (Met or Exemplary) All Students 51 100 21.6 39.2 39.2 82.4 81 80.4 Yes Yes Gender Male 26 100 26.9 38.5 34.6 76.9 78.9 78.4 N/A N/A Female 25 100 16 40 44 88 83.2 82.5 N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group White 3 I/S I/S I/S I/S 94.5 87.8 I/S I/S African American 47 100 21.3 40.4 38.3 83 68 69.3 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 96.1 93.5 I/S I/S		·	1,0	1,0	.,, 0	1,0	1,10	00.2	10	1,0	., 0
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 57.8% (Met or Exemplary) All Students 51 100 21.6 39.2 39.2 82.4 81 80.4 Yes Yes Gender Male 26 100 26.9 38.5 34.6 76.9 78.9 78.4 N/A N/A Female 25 100 16 40 44 88 83.2 82.5 N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group White 3 I/S I/S I/S I/S 94.5 87.8 I/S I/S African American 47 100 21.3 40.4 38.3 83 68 69.3 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 96.1 93.5 I/S I/S Hispanic 1 I/S		47	100	14.9	42.6	42.6	89.4	76.4	76.9	Yes	Yes
All Students 51 100 21.6 39.2 39.2 82.4 81 80.4 Yes Yes Gender Male 26 100 26.9 38.5 34.6 76.9 78.9 78.4 N/A N/A Female 25 100 16 40 44 88 83.2 82.5 N/A N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group White 3 I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S 94.5 87.8 I/S I/S I/S African American 47 100 21.3 40.4 38.3 83 68 69.3 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 96.1 93.5 I/S	N.A. (1	ı. O		·	01:	· · ·	7 00/ /			1	'
Male											
Male 26 100 26.9 38.5 34.6 76.9 78.9 78.4 N/A N/A Female 25 100 16 40 44 88 83.2 82.5 N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group White 3 I/S I/S I/S I/S 94.5 87.8 I/S I/S African American 47 100 21.3 40.4 38.3 83 68 69.3 Yes Yes </td <td></td> <td>51</td> <td>100</td> <td>21.6</td> <td>39.2</td> <td>39.2</td> <td>82.4</td> <td>81</td> <td>80.4</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>Yes</td>		51	100	21.6	39.2	39.2	82.4	81	80.4	Yes	Yes
Remaile 25 100 16 40 44 88 83.2 82.5 N/A N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group		00	400	00.0	00.5	04.0	70.0	70.0	70.4	N1/A	NI/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									-		
White 3 I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S 94.5 87.8 I/S I/S African American 47 100 21.3 40.4 38.3 83 68 69.3 Yes Ye Asian/Pacific Islander 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 96.1 93.5 I/S I/S Hispanic 1 I/S <		20	100	10	40	44	00	03.2	02.3	IN/A	IN/A
African American 47 100 21.3 40.4 38.3 83 68 69.3 Yes Ye Asian/Pacific Islander 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 96.1 93.5 I/S I/S Hispanic 1 I/S <	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	1/9	1/9	1/9	1/9	1/9	94.5	87.8	1/9	1/9
Asian/Pacific Islander 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 96.1 93.5 I/S I/S Hispanic 1 I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S 77.2 78.3 I/S I/S American Indian/Alaskan 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 94.4 83.2 I/S I/S I/S Disability Status Disabled 6 I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S 43.8 46.1 I/S I/S Migrant Status Migrant Status Migrant Pacific Islander 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A I/S 71.4 N/A N/A N/A I/S 71.4 N/A N/A I/S 71.4 N/A N/A I/S											Yes
Hispanic											I/S
Disability Status Disability Status Disabiled 6 I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S 43.8 46.1 I/S I/S		1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	77.2			I/S
Disabled 6 I/S I/S I/S I/S 43.8 46.1 I/S I/S Migrant Status Migrant 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A I/S 71.4 N/A N/A English Proficiency Limited English Proficient 1 I/S I/S I/S I/S 77.6 78.9 I/S I/S Socio-Economic Status	American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	94.4	83.2	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status Migrant 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A I/S 71.4 N/A N/A English Proficiency Limited English Proficient 1 I/S I/S I/S I/S 77.6 78.9 I/S I/S Socio-Economic Status 1	Disability Status										
Migrant 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A I/S 71.4 N/A N/A English Proficiency Limited English Proficient 1 I/S I/S I/S I/S 77.6 78.9 I/S I/S Socio-Economic Status	Disabled	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	43.8	46.1	I/S	I/S
English Proficiency Limited English Proficient 1 I/S I/S I/S I/S 77.6 78.9 I/S I/S Socio-Economic Status	Migrant Status										
Limited English Proficient 1 I/S I/S I/S I/S I/S 77.6 78.9 I/S I/S Socio-Economic Status	Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	71.4	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	English Proficiency										
	Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	77.6	78.9	I/S	I/S
	Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals 47 100 21.3 42.6 36.2 83 70.2 72.8 Yes Ye	Subsidized meals	47	100	21.3	42.6	36.2	83	70.2	72.8	Yes	Yes

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

EDITH L FRIERSON E	I EMENT	ΔRY					03/09/11-	1001075
PASS Performance By		71111					00/03/11	1001070
PASS Performance by						_	_	
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary
			Scien	ice				
All Students	32	100	34.4	56.3	9.4	65.6	67.4	67.3
Gender								
Male	13	100	46.2	46.2	7.7	53.8	66.2	66.9
Female	19	100	26.3	63.2	10.5	73.7	68.5	67.7
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	89	79.6
African American	29	100	34.5	58.6	6.9	65.5	46.7	49.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	86.7	84.4
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	58.7	59.4
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	86.8	69.5
Disability Status								
Disabled	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	29.2	33.8
Migrant Status								1
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	36.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	58.2	58.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	30	100	36.7	56.7	6.7	63.3	49.3	55.4
			Social St	tudies				
All Students	34	100	23.5	58.8	17.6	76.5	73.8	70.9
Gender								
Male	16	100	31.3	50	18.8	68.8	72.3	70.1
Female	18	100	16.7	66.7	16.7	83.3	75.3	71.7
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	90.1	79.2
African American	33	100	24.2	57.6	18.2	75.8	58.4	58.4
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	89.3	86.8
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.6	68
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	88.6	71.2
Disability Status								
Disabled	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	38.9	39.3
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	55
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66.7	68
Socio-Economic Status								

21.9 62.5 15.6 78.1

60.2

100

32

Subsidized meals

EDITH L FRIERSON ELEMENTARY 03/09/11-1001075										
PASS Performance By Group										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Writing						
All Students	52	100	21.6	33.3	45.1	78.4	75	72.1	96.8	95.7
Gender										
Male	27	100	26.9	42.3	30.8	73.1	69.1	65.2	96.9	95.5
Female	25	100	16	24	60	84	81	79.2	96.7	95.8
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	91	80.8	93.3	95.8
African American	47	100	21.3	36.2	42.6	78.7	60.2	59.7	96.9	95.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	89.2	87	N/A	97
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	63.7	64.6	99.6	96
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	89.1	73.4	N/A	95.6
Disability Status										
Disabled	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	28.4	27.7	96.5	94.5
Migrant Status										
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	63.5	N/A	97.7
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	63.5	63.7	99.7	96.3

47 | 100 | 21.3 | 34 | 44.7 | 78.7 | 61.1 | 61.9 | 96.7 | 95.2

Socio-Economic Status

Subsidized meals

EDITIE I NIETOGIA ELEMENTARY										
PASS Performance By Grade Level										
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary			
			Englisl	h/Language A	rts					
	3	20	100	27.8	27.8	44.4	72.2			
6	3 4	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
Ŏ.		12	100	25	41.7	33.3	75			
2009	5 6	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	3	13	100	15.4	23.1	61.5	84.6			
0	4	15	100	6.7	46.7	46.7	93.3			
2010	5 6	12	100	41.7	33.3	25	58.3			
2		11	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	100			
	7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
			M	lathematics						
	3	20	100	27.8	50	22.2	72.2			
6	4	10	I/S	I/S 16.7	I/S	I/S	I/S			
2009	5	12	100	16.7	50	33.3	83.3			
7	6	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	3	13	100	30.8	15.4	53.8	69.2			
10	4	15	100	6.7	53.3	40	93.3			
2010	5	12 11	100	41.7 9.1	41.7	16.7	58.3			
2	6 7		100 N/A	9.1 N/A	45.5 N/A	45.5	90.9 N/A			
	8	0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A			
	0	0	IN/A		IN/A	IN/A	IN/A			
		1		Science						
	3 4	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
9		10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
2009	5	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
2	6	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
	7 8	N/A N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A			
	3	6	N/AV I/S	N/A I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
	4	15	100	26.7	66.7	6.7	73.3			
2010		6	1/9	1/9	1/9	1/S	13.3 I/S			
20	5 6	5	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S I/S	I/S	1/S			
	7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

EDITIE I MERCON ELEMENTARY							
PASS Performance By Grade Level							
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
Social Studies							
	3	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
6	4	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2009		6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
7(5 6	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2010	3	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	4	15	100	13.3	73.3	13.3	86.7
9	5 6	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2		6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Writing							
	3	20	100	16.7	44.4	38.9	83.3
6	4	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
2009	5	12	100	16.7	33.3	50	83.3
2(6	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	13	100	38.5	15.4	46.2	61.5
0	4	15	100	6.7	40	53.3	93.3
2010	5	13	100	33.3	33.3	33.3	66.7
2	6	11	100	9.1	45.5	45.5	90.9
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A